The Role of Rock Engineering in Developing a Deep Geological Repository in Sedimentary Rocks

Rodney S. Read RSRead Consulting Inc., Okotoks, Alberta, Canada

Kenneth Birch

Nuclear Waste Management Organization, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

ABSTRACT: Both crystalline and sedimentary rocks are being considered to host a deep geological repository (DGR) for nuclear fuel waste in Canada. In the past several decades, considerable research has been conducted around the world to better understand geomechanical processes associated with development and long-term performance of a DGR. The Nuclear Waste Management Organization's (NWMO's) technical research and development program is examining a number of potentially suitable rock formations to support implementation of Adaptive Phased Management, Canada's approach for long-term management of nuclear fuel waste. Rock engineering will play an important role in siting, design, and construction of a DGR for nuclear fuel waste. Post-construction activities such as excavation maintenance, monitoring, decommissioning, and closure will also involve rock engineering. The type of host rock selected for a DGR will influence the scope of rock engineering activities and related research requirements. This paper outlines key rock engineering aspects to be considered in developing a DGR in sedimentary rock.

1 INTRODUCTION

Rock engineering involves the application of rock mechanics and engineering geology principles to resolve engineering issues associated with structures constructed in, or composed of, rock and possibly other geomaterials. One of the more challenging rock engineering issues of our time is the safe long-term management of used nuclear fuel from nuclear reactors.

Considerable research has been conducted in Canada on concepts to contain and isolate used nuclear fuel in crystalline rock, focused largely on granitic rock of the Canadian Shield. Other countries are considering crystalline rock, volcanic rock, sedimentary rock, and salt as possible host media for a deep geological repository (DGR). A review of used fuel isolation concepts and management strategies (NWMO 2005) recommended that both crystalline rock and sedimentary rock should be considered as potential host media for a DGR in Canada.

Comprehensive work related to rock engineering for DGR concepts in crystalline rock has been completed (e.g. Andersson et al. 2000, Martin et al. 2001, Read & Chandler 2002, Read 2008). This paper focuses primarily on identifying unique rock engineering aspects related to a DGR in sedimentary rock. Relevant background information is included to clarify the context of the engineering issues. Additional details of this study are provided in Read (2008).

2 LONG-TERM NUCLEAR FUEL WASTE MANAGEMENT IN CANADA

2.1 Adaptive Phased Management

In November 2002, the Government of Canada enacted the Nuclear Fuel Waste Act (NFWA). Under the NFWA, the Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO) was established to

consult with stakeholders, and to recommend an appropriate long-term management approach for nuclear fuel waste in Canada. Following three years of consultation, Adaptive Phased Management was recommended. The approach has the following characteristics:

- Ultimate centralized containment and isolation of used fuel in a DGR in a suitable rock formation, with a focus on the crystalline rock or sedimentary rock of the four nuclear provinces;
- Flexibility in the pace and manner of implementation through a phased decision-making process, supported by a program of continuous learning, research and development;
- Provision for an optional step in the implementation process involving shallow underground storage of used fuel at the central site prior to final placement in a DGR;
- Continuous monitoring of used fuel to support data collection and confirmation of safety and performance of the DGR; and
- Potential for retrievability of used fuel for an extended period, until such time as a future society decides on final closure, and appropriate form and duration of post-closure monitoring.

2.2 Implementation phases

In 2007, the Government of Canada accepted the NWMO recommendation for Adaptive Phased Management. The NWMO is now implementing Adaptive Phased Management and collaboratively developing with Canadians the process to site a deep geological repository for nuclear fuel waste. Adaptive Phased Management will proceed in phases. From a technical perspective, following the launch of the siting process, the initial phase will likely involve feasibility studies and preliminary investigations at potential sites in volunteer communities, followed by more detailed site investigations to confirm whether the candidate sites have the geological characteristics required to safely host a repository. Following selection of a preferred site and the necessary environmental assessment and licence approval process, an underground characterization facility (UCF) would be constructed and site-specific research and technology demonstration would be performed. During this period, in-situ characteristics of the site at repository depth would be obtained and the final design and safety assessments would be prepared prior to final construction and operation of the repository.

3 TECHNICAL ASPECTS OF A DEEP GEOLOGICAL REPOSITORY

3.1 Technical host rock considerations

The central facility for long-term used fuel management is to be located in a suitable rock formation such as crystalline rock or sedimentary rock. These two rock types cover large portions of several provinces and territories.

Since the 1980s, a limited number of Canadian studies have been undertaken on the potential for sedimentary rock as a host medium for a DGR (e.g. Mazurek, 2004). From a geoscientific perspective, sedimentary rock formations such as the Ordovician (age 470 to 430 million years) have low hydraulic conductivity, resulting in very slow movement of groundwater in the formations, with the migration of dissolved material dominated by diffusion. Furthermore, sedimentary formations comprising clay may be capable of self-sealing fractures and faults, and the clay minerals tend to retard migration of many dissolved minerals through a process of adsorption. Construction of a DGR for used fuel in sedimentary rock in Canada was determined to be feasible, and was estimated to cost about the same or less than a DGR constructed in crystalline rock (RWE-NUKEM 2004a, b).

Independent geoscientific studies suggest that Ordovician shales and limestones may provide a suitable environment to host a DGR for used fuel in Canada (NWMO 2005). Like the Canadian Shield granite, Ordovician sedimentary rock basins are naturally occurring geological formations characterized by long-term stability, relatively high rock strength, and low groundwater flow. Suitable horizons within these formations that occur at sufficient depth below ground surface, and lack mineral resources, are highly unlikely to be disturbed by erosion or accidental drilling. However, further research is required to prepare a safety case for a DGR. Also, an assessment of results from detailed site specific characterization activities obtained during the site investigation, site selection, and licensing phase would be required to confirm the technical suitability of any host rock formation for a DGR.



Figure 1. In-floor borehole placement concepts: a) original AECL design (AECL 1994), and b) modified design (RWE-NUKEM 2003) based on the KBS-3V concept.

3.2 Conceptual repository designs

A DGR will comprise a series of underground openings including shafts (and possibly ramps), access tunnels, placement rooms, and other excavations required for underground operations. Excavations will remain open during repository construction and operation, and will be sealed following placement of used fuel. Ground support may be used in the short-term to provide a safe working environment, but is planned to be removed during backfilling and sealing.

Some countries have selected a final DGR design for their deep repository (e.g. the KBS-3 design in Sweden). In Canada, several conceptual designs are still under consideration pending further engineering developments and site-specific information. These conceptual designs include:

- In-borehole placement designs involving deposition of used fuel containers (UFCs) in either vertical boreholes in the floor of horizontal placement rooms, or in horizontal boreholes drilled from access tunnels, lined with clay-based buffer materials.
- In-room placement designs involving deposition of UFCs in horizontal circular or noncircular placement rooms filled with clay-based buffer and backfill materials.

In each concept, the DGR would be located at a depth of about 500 m below ground surface in a suitable host rock formation. Two conceptual in-floor borehole placement concepts are shown in Figure 1 for illustrative purposes.

4 ROCK ENGINEERING ASPECTS OF REPOSITORY DEVELOPMENT

4.1 Previous studies

Rock engineering considerations and issues related to development of a DGR in crystalline rock have been investigated in Canada, Sweden, and other countries. Andersson et al. (2000) provide a summary of geoscientific suitability indicators and criteria for siting and site evaluation in Sweden, with particular emphasis on host rock requirements for a KBS-3 repository. Based on SKB's experience over many years of research and development, the report details required and preferred characteristics and conditions in the rock, and criteria to be used to identify potential candidate sites, and during site investigation at each candidate site. The reported requirements, preferences, and criteria provide the technical basis for SKB's site selection process and site investigations.

The results, and particularly the stipulated criteria, apply to a KBS-3 repository for used fuel, where the fuel is contained in copper canisters embedded in bentonite clay at a depth of 400 to 700 m in the Swedish crystalline basement. If the repository concept is changed or if new tech-

nical or scientific advances are made, certain requirements, preferences, or criteria may need to be revised. Andersson et al. (2000) emphasize that findings of the report cannot be used directly as a basis for siting other types of repositories, or in other geological settings.

Martin et al. (2001) synthesize important rock mechanics findings from the Canadian and Swedish research programs, and identify their relevance in assessing the stability of underground openings. The report draws heavily on published results from SKB's ZEDEX Experiment in Sweden (Olsson et al. 1996) and AECL's Mine-by Experiment in Canada (Read & Martin 1996), and incorporates examples from mining and tunneling to illustrate the application of these findings to underground excavations in general.

The report describes the role rock engineering can play in siting and constructing a KBS-3 repository. The key rock mechanics parameters to be determined in order to facilitate repository siting and construction in crystalline rock are identified. Possible construction issues associated with rock stability that may arise during excavation of underground openings of a KBS-3 repository are discussed. The report provides a convenient reference document for major rock mechanics issues to be addressed during siting, construction and closure of a nuclear waste repository in hard crystalline rock in Sweden.

Read & Chandler (2002) describe the Thermal-Mechanical Stability Studies (TMSS), a comprehensive multi-disciplinary research project conducted in Canada between 1996 and 2001. The authors describe the main objectives of excavation design for a nuclear waste repository as follows: 1) to create stable underground openings capable of withstanding the thermalmechanical loading history expected over the lifetime of a repository, and 2) to minimize excavation damage. The goal of the TMSS was to develop a suite of engineering tools and techniques to facilitate design of stable repository excavations with minimal excavation damage.

The TMSS project advanced the state of knowledge in a number of areas including: numerical modeling of progressive failure and damage development around underground openings using the Particle Flow Code (PFC) and other micro-mechanical models; monitoring rock mass response to excavation using conventional instruments in conjunction with acoustic emission/microseismic (AE/MS) monitoring technology and methods; and characterizing rock properties and rock mass response under ambient and elevated temperature through specialized in situ and laboratory characterization and testing methods. The role of thermo-poroelasticity of the rock mass and pore pressure analyses in rock mass stability calculations were also assessed.

Read & Chandler (2002) summarize findings from the TMSS in the context of DGR rock mechanics studies, and assess the state-of-the-art in repository excavation design tools and capabilities. They also define a systematic design approach that integrates characterization, monitoring, and numerical modeling tools and capabilities into an engineering system for back analysis and forward prediction of short- and long-term rock mass responses, and associated changes in material properties. Although the focus of the report is a DGR in crystalline rock in Canada, many of the findings are applicable to other host rock environments and applications.

4.2 Siting

The main roles of rock engineering in technical siting of a DGR in sedimentary rock are: to characterize the surface and subsurface rock mass in terms of the distribution of major geological features and lithostratigraphic units; to determine the characteristics, properties, and conditions of the rock mass that affect its response to DGR development; to use the information to conduct engineering scoping analyses of DGR design concepts; and to compare the collected information and analysis results against selection and exclusion criteria. The primary rock engineering requirements to complete these steps are as follows:

- Geological framework develop a clear understanding of the surficial geology, lithostratigraphy, and structural geology of potential candidate sites. This requires compilation of information into a database from which to develop 3D models of surface and subsurface geological conditions, specifically a digital elevation model showing surface features, a lithostratigraphic model showing distribution of rock types, and a structural geology model showing distribution of faults/fracture zones.
- Rock properties conduct laboratory and in situ testing to develop a database of rock mechanical, thermal, hydraulic and chemical properties for each of the major lithostratigraphic

layers for use in site screening and selection. Identify possible ore or mineral horizons that may exclude the site from further consideration.

- Fracture and discontinuity characteristics determine characteristics and properties of fractures and discontinuities in each of the lithostratigraphic units, and of the major fracture zones and faults identified during site investigation. This includes hydraulic, thermal, mechanical and chemical characteristics and properties.
- In situ conditions determine profiles of in situ stress, pore pressure, and temperature with depth for use in scoping analyses. Groundwater chemistry (particularly salinity) should be measured to ensure proper groundwater density is used in analysis, and to compare to exclusion criteria. Determine seismic/tectonic history of each site, and expected seismic conditions and associated parameters for dynamic analysis.
- Rock mass classification classify the rock mass conditions using standard rock mass classification systems to assess tunneling quality and possible support requirements to maintain stability of underground openings.
- Climatic conditions determine the climatic conditions for each site based on climate records and forecasting of possible climate change effects. Determine the glaciation history for each site and identify possible glaciation-related features such as reactivated faults, isostatic rebound, and erosion potential.
- Engineering scoping analysis conduct scoping analyses using simplified models to determine feasibility of constructing a DGR at each potential candidate site. The results from these analyses are intended to provide part of the basis for site selection or exclusion. Engineering assessments are updated as new information is collected through subsequent activities, such as development of the UCF.

4.3 Design

The engineering design process can be broken into conceptual, preliminary, and detailed engineering. The successive design stages require increasingly accurate information related to in situ conditions and properties. Consequently, ongoing site characterization is an integral element of the design process to build on the information gathered during the site selection and investigation process.

Much of this characterization work is conducted as part of the UCF development and operation, or is undertaken during construction. Figure 2 shows the HADES Underground Research Facility in Mol, Belgium, an example of a UCF where in situ tests in sedimentary rock (Boom clay) have been conducted. The selection (or development), testing, and qualification of monitoring instrumentation and approaches, and their application to acquire baseline data and measurements during excavation and testing activities, is a second element of the design process. In conjunction with ongoing site characterization and monitoring, the selection (or development), testing, and qualification of analytical tools and approaches is a third element of the design process. These analytical tools/approaches derive their inputs from the collected site characterization and monitoring data.



Figure 2. HADES Underground Research Facility, Mol, Belgium (R. Read). The role of rock engineering in these various design stages involves several activities:

- Characterization plan and conduct controlled laboratory and in situ tests, experiments, and demonstrations to determine or confirm specific aspects of material and component behaviour and/or performance, and to provide data for model calibration/validation. The development of damage in the near-field rock mass is a specific rock mechanics issue requiring investigation. Single- and multi-component tests, experiments, and demonstrations are required to ensure material behaviour and interactions between system components are understood.
- Monitoring select and deploy instrumentation to monitor component and system performance during construction and for tests, experiments, and demonstrations in the UCF environment. Longevity and reliability of instrumentation are two important design considerations.
- Analysis develop criteria and correlations, and associated application methodologies, that bridge the gap between laboratory and in situ data, particularly with respect to long-term rock strength and scale effects. This aspect of rock engineering is particularly challenging. Recent work by Diederichs (2007) has advanced findings of the TMSS (Read & Chandler 2002) for crystalline rock. A similar line of reasoning is required to ensure material behaviour of sedimentary rock is treated consistently at both laboratory and field scale in numerical models.
- Numerical modeling select (or develop), test and qualify design tools such as numerical modeling codes and analytical methods, using results from controlled laboratory and in situ experiments and tests to validate the tools. Qualification of design tools is essential to build confidence in their application to DGR design.
- Excavation design refine the repository layout and excavation designs to meet design specifications, using detailed site characterization data obtained from in situ characterization activities and monitoring. This would involve room and pillar dimensioning to ensure long-term stability of the repository, as well as excavation sequencing and selection of suitable excavation methods and equipment.
- Ground support design design and implement ground support systems as required to maintain stability of underground openings for the duration of the construction stage, and beyond into the long-term monitoring stage. This activity carries into construction.
- Sealing system design design sealing systems compatible with in situ rock mass conditions to ensure containment of radionuclides for the requisite containment period. Of particular interest are the interfaces between the clay- and concrete-based sealing components and the rock mass, including design of rock cutoff keys (Martin et al. 1996, Read & Dixon 2003). This activity carries into construction.
- Performance verification verify overall system performance to support a decision to close the repository. This activity carries into post-construction.

4.4 Construction and operation

The primary role of rock engineering during construction/operations is to conduct ongoing characterization of DGR excavations, update the geosphere model and rock mass classification, and adjust the DGR design and construction execution plan accordingly. Back analysis of observed behaviours and measured responses is an integral part of design refinement. Active involvement in the selection/design, implementation, and monitoring of excavation methods, ground support, and sealing systems is also a priority. The design and implementation of appropriate monitoring systems, and ongoing management and analysis of monitoring data, is an important function at this stage of DGR development. Rock engineering in the construction and operation of a DGR involves several activities:

- Excavation adapt DGR design specifications into a construction execution plan in conjunction with other disciplines (e.g. mining engineering, civil engineering, and construction). This involves evaluating different excavation methods and equipment, estimating productivity and equipment wear through specialized in situ and laboratory testing (tool-rock interaction studies), and analyzing potential effects of different excavation schedules and staging/sequencing options to minimize adverse effects on the rock mass around underground openings. It also entails developing procedures for incorporating results from excavation characterization into design modifications during construction.
- Ground support selection, design, implementation and monitoring of ground support systems is required to ensure worker safety during construction, and to preserve the integrity of

the rock mass during construction and operation of the DGR. Some sedimentary rock types may pose particular challenges in terms of avoiding degradation effects on exposed rock surfaces, and ensuring long-term performance of bolting systems. Gas generation of metal bolting systems has been raised in previous conceptual designs as a potential issue, and may require additional study in the context of gas migration in sedimentary rock.

- Characterization conduct ongoing characterization of conditions and properties in excavations using techniques developed for the UCF, and update the geosphere model and rock mass classification of the excavations. This process is iterative and involves improving the understanding of rock mass conditions over the construction period. Back analysis of observed conditions also allows for improvements to predictive tools and techniques.
- Design modification update the design of specific repository panels and/or individual placement rooms based on results of excavation characterization conducted immediately following placement room excavation. This process involves conducting confirmatory analyses of potential effects using numerical tools developed for design analysis. This process could involve changes to the arrangement of panels and rooms to avoid potentially undesirable geological features, or changes to the positioning or spacing of placed UFCs in a particular room to avoid intersecting fractures. These types of modifications require a well-defined decision protocol to ensure design changes are not made on an ad hoc basis, and that as-built conditions are well documented.
- Sealing systems in conjunction with other disciplines, develop detailed designs for sealing systems (including backfill, bulkheads, and grouting) and assess their potential interactions with the rock mass as the basis for establishing monitoring systems to gauge performance. In particular, swelling pressure exerted by the backfill on the rock mass is an important design element to ensure long-term stability and integrity of the near-field rock mass. Likewise, the integrity and effectiveness of sealing elements such as bulkheads and grouting of the rock-concrete interface, and their interaction with the rock mass, are important to long-term performance and safety (Figure 3). Remedial grouting of fracture zones and other potential hydraulic flowpaths may require further study in the context of specific sedimentary rock types to fully understand potential chemical and mechanical interactions.
- Monitoring monitor the rock mass during excavation activities and over the course of the construction and operations period to identify possible development of damage around openings. Remote monitoring using AE and MS sensors is considered a preferred non-intrusive method to conduct monitoring of placement rooms. In addition, other strategically placed instruments are required to measure the performance of sealing systems. These instruments could include sensors to monitor displacement, temperature, pressure, and humidity, for example. Specific instrumentation requirements would be determined on the basis of rock mass response observed during development of the UCF. Ongoing management and analysis of monitoring data is also required.



Figure 3. Pouring a keyed concrete bulkhead for the Tunnel Sealing Experiment in Canada (Read 2008).

4.5 Post-construction

The main post-construction activities that involve rock engineering are extended monitoring prior to site closure, the design and implementation of sealing systems in access tunnels and shafts to finalize closure of the repository, and long-term post-closure monitoring (if required). If monitoring data indicate the need to retrieve placed UFCs, then additional rock engineering considerations may be introduced.

Extended monitoring (either pre- or post-closure) has been considered (Thompson & Simmons 2003). Given the long lead time for this activity, it is likely that technological advances in instrumentation will occur prior to DGR closure, and will require assessment and testing in conditions typical of a DGR in sedimentary rock. The layered nature of sedimentary rock may complicate the use of some remote monitoring techniques, and interpretation of measured data.

Repository sealing systems have been discussed in general in AECL's Environmental Impact Statement (AECL 1994) in relation to the original DGR concept in granite. The concept was modified to account for an in-room placement design option (Baumgartner et al. 1995). This system includes grouting of fractured rock, fracture zones and interfaces, backfilling with clayand/or cement-based materials, and concrete bulkhead construction at strategic locations. Borehole grouting is also included in this concept. Other concepts for tunnel seals have also been proposed (USDOE OCRWM 2001). Important interactions in these systems occur along interfaces between rock, geomaterials, and concrete.

Hansen & Knowles (1999) describe a shaft sealing design for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) site in layered rock. The shaft sealing system comprises 13 elements that completely fill the shaft with high density, low permeability engineered materials. The Salado Formation components provide the primary regulatory barrier by limiting fluid transport along shaft during and beyond the 10,000 year regulatory period in the USA. Components within the overlying Rustler Formation limit commingling between brine-bearing members, as required by state regulations. Above the Rustler Formation to surface, the shaft is filled with common materials of high density, consistent with good engineering practice. A similar concept for sedimentary rock in Canada may be suitable, but would require a similar design process to that used for the WIPP case.

5 INVENTORY OF ROCK ENGINEERING INFORMATION

Although the concept of sedimentary rock as a host medium for a DGR is not new, compared to the extensive research and development conducted on crystalline rock of the Canadian Shield, there has been less work done to advance this alternative concept in Canada. Additional information relevant to DGR development in sedimentary rock in Canada has been gathered in other international programs considering sedimentary rock as a host medium (e.g. Boisson 2005). Information from other areas of Canada comprising sedimentary bedrock is also available.

5.1 Data requirements

Data requirements for developing a DGR are linked to the various development stages, and therefore evolve over time. Geoscientific data needs from site characterization for development of a DGR in crystalline rock have been described in general terms by AECL (1994). A more detailed description of data requirements to characterize sedimentary rock typical of the Michigan Basin in relation to a potential low/intermediate level DGR has been documented in a Geoscientific Site Characterization Plan (GSCP) (INTERA 2006). The GSCP describes the geoscientific information needed to support the development of descriptive geosphere models and preparation of a DGR environmental assessment. The important DGR geoscience data needs in the GSCP include: geological setting and framework, geomechanical setting and framework, hydraulic properties and state, diffusion and sorption properties, groundwater/porewater characterization, and seismicity. These categories also generally apply to a DGR for used fuel.

5.2 Available rock mechanics information

Sedimentary rock formations are in each of the four nuclear provinces. Examples of the characterization of a sedimentary rock geosphere have been previously presented by Mazurek (2004) for general geology and Lam et al, (2007) for geomechanical properties and in situ stresses.

A sedimentary rock geosphere considered for a DGR may have some unique aspects in comparison to a crystalline rock geosphere. Carbonates and anhydrite may be susceptible to karsting (i.e. dissolution of rock and development of cavities within the rock mass) under certain groundwater flow conditions. In limestone and dolomite, mild carbonic acid produced from CO_2 in the atmosphere and soil is primarily responsible for the solvent power of flowing groundwater on carbonate rocks. Over time, carbonate aquifers change from diffuse-flow aquifers with water moving as laminar flow through small openings, to conduit-flow aquifers with water moving primarily as turbulent flow through well-developed conduit systems to discharge points at springs (Figure 4). Certain carbonate traps are targets for oil and gas drilling. Anhydrite units are particularly sensitive to groundwater flow, as evidenced by salt collapse structures. These units need special attention in developing a DGR to avoid undesirable long-term effects.

Shales also have some unique characteristics. They may exhibit swelling or time-dependent volume increase involving physico-chemical reaction with water; marine shales are particularly susceptible to this effect. As well, osmotic effects and shale hydration (i.e. flow caused by gradient in ionic species concentration) are common borehole stability issues in oil and gas drilling. In underground openings, desiccation and slaking behaviour is common due to wetting/drying cycles and ventilation effects, and can result in rapid deterioration of exposed rock. The effects on elastic properties and strength can be significant, particularly on weak interfaces/bedding. Shale is also typically viewed as a potential caprock for oil and gas reservoirs due to its low permeability to water and gas. The possibility of trapped gas therefore should be considered in planning and executing drilling and excavation campaigns. Iron-sulphides in shale may also pose a risk of acid rock drainage (ARD). Shale and other sedimentary rocks typically have anisotropic properties characteristics.

In turn, general scoping analyses may need to consider a broad range of possible stress magnitudes and stress ratios for different rock types depending on expected tectonic history and rock stiffness at a site. Other information, such as the plunge and trend of each stress component, may also have implications for rock engineering if principal stresses are not horizontal and vertical. Qualification of existing and future stress measurement results through review of individual overcore and hydraulic fracture test information (if available), and critical review of derived values, is essential to improve confidence in the stress database.



Figure 4. Karst features in limestone (Read 2008).

5.3 Tools and techniques

Geoscientific site characterization of crystalline rock for a DGR, along with general characterization tools and techniques, has been described by AECL (1994). A more detailed description of the tools and techniques required to characterize sedimentary rock typical of the Michigan Basin was reported in the GSCP (INTERA 2006). The GSCP provides a technical description of the selection and proposed application of preferred tools and techniques for site-specific geoscientific characterization. These tools and techniques have been linked to geoscience data needs, and consider results of previous detailed geoscientific studies completed in the Michigan Basin, and recent international experience in geoscientific characterization of similar sedimentary rocks for radioactive waste isolation purposes. The GSCP also describes complementary geoscientific studies considered necessary to develop a comprehensive geoscientific understanding of a selected site relevant to the DGR safety case. The GSCP report is an excellent example for future planning purposes, and for undertaking characterization of potential DGR sites in sedimentary rock. The approaches and rationale outlined in the GSCP can be applied in sedimentary rocks in other areas outside the Michigan Basin.

6 DISCUSSION

According to NWMO (2005), technical and scientific research activities are envisioned in three general areas: 1) research involving site-specific investigations into the technical performance of the management system, 2) research on the characteristics and performance of geology potentially suited to implementation of the selected management approach, and 3) continued monitoring and engagement in research being conducted internationally to further the understanding of social, technical and ethical considerations. This latter point is of particular interest as there are a number of national research programs actively pursuing DGRs in sedimentary rocks (e.g., France, Switzerland, Japan, and Spain). Active participation in these national radioactive waste management programs and key information exchanges is considered vital with respect to developing a DGR in sedimentary rock in Canada.

The development and application of numerical modeling tools is an ongoing area of research that would benefit from international experience. A bonded particle model for crystalline rock using the code PFC produced promising results in sparsely fractured crystalline rock in terms of tracking damage development and progressive failure of the rock mass. Several recommendations regarding the use of PFC in DGR design were put forward in the TMSS final report (Read & Chandler 2002), and are valid for a DGR in sedimentary rock. New advances in the use of PFC have been made since completion of that report. The use of particle clustering algorithms to improve the simulation of strength envelope is one example of a recent advance (Potyondy & Cundall 2004). In addition, advances in the use of other numerical codes such as FLAC have been made (e.g. incorporation of the Biot coefficient in FLAC). Recent work by Diederichs (2007) is a good example of advances in rock mechanics research that directly benefit the technical community, and are applicable to developing a DGR in sedimentary rock.

The rock properties database for sedimentary rocks of the Michigan Basin (Lam et al. 2007), particularly for the Queenston Formation shale and Lindsay Formation limestone, is an excellent start in developing a broad understanding of sedimentary rock properties and rock mass conditions. Likewise, the NWMO database of in situ stress measurements from the Michigan basin is a good start in understanding stress conditions in potential host sedimentary rock basins. A thorough review of available stress measurement results would be beneficial to qualify available data, and screen out potentially questionable results. For example, a review of hydraulic fracturing as a stress measurement tool (Thompson et al. 2003) suggests that estimates of horizontal stress components using this method are subject to uncertainties associated with the stress measurement method. Similarly, overcoring results may be affected by inelastic and or non-linear rock responses, particularly rock damage occurring during overcoring stress relief. Review of the actual test data would be required to assess the quality of results, but is a valuable step if such information is available. In addition, in situ stress data derived in the preparation of regional and local stress models based on known structural geology should be compiled (e.g. Tonon et

al. 2001), and information cited by Mazurek (2004) should be reviewed and added to the database if not already included.

The comprehensive in situ stress database is expected to be expanded to cover areas of potentially suitable sedimentary rock in other regions of Canada. This requires review of measurements not only over a large geographical area, but also at different depths to develop a clear understanding of stress gradients with depth. Experience in the Canadian Western Sedimentary Basin, for instance, suggests that horizontal stress magnitude may vary considerably from one stratigraphic unit to the next, resulting in a stepped stress profile. The data reviewed as part of this study suggest a similar situation may exist in the Michigan Basin and, by inference, other sedimentary basins. This has significant implications in terms of accurately estimating in situ stress conditions and the potential for shearing along interfaces between rocks of different stiffness. Weak horizontal shear zones at the base of major shales units represent a potential challenge to DGR design. It is also unlikely for principal stresses in the Michigan Basin to be horizontal and vertical given the nature of the stratigraphic section. The plunge of the major principal in situ stress will be a factor in design and therefore should be determined.

Other unique aspects of sedimentary rock that may pose design challenges are potential karst development in limestones and anhydrites, swelling behaviour in clay-rich rock, sensitivity to fresh water of some marine shales, osmotic effects during drilling, susceptibility to wet-ting/drying and desiccation, possible ARD generation, and anisotropic properties (Figure 5).

7 CONCLUSIONS

The purpose of this study was to provide an overview of the role of rock engineering in the siting, design and construction of a DGR in sedimentary rock. The review also considered the data, tools, and techniques required to advance DGR development. Based on the study, it is concluded that rock engineering will play an important role in each stage of DGR development, and during post-construction. The study provides a basis for prioritizing the various rock engineering aspects of DGR development, and initiating research and international collaboration to advance capabilities and information required for the siting, design and construction of a DGR in sedimentary rock in Canada.



Figure 5. Anisotropic layering in dolomitic limestone (R. Read).

REFERENCES

- Atomic Energy of Canada Limited (AECL). 1994. Environmental impact statement on the concept for disposal of Canada's nuclear fuel waste. Atomic Energy of Canada Limited Report, AECL-10711, COG-93-1. Chalk River, Canada.
- Andersson, J., Ström, A., Svemar, C., Almen, K.E., & Ericsson, L.O. 2000. What requirements does the KBS-3 repository make on the host rock. Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Company Report, SKB TR-00-12.
- Andersson, C.J. 2007. Äspö Pillar Stability Experiment, Final report. Rock mass response to coupled mechanical thermal loading. Swedish Nuclear Fuel Waste Management Company Report, SKB TR-07-01.
- Baumgartner, P., Bilinsky, D.M., Onofrei, C., Ates, Y., Bilsky, F., Crosthwaite, J.L. & Kuzyk, G.W. 1995. The in-room emplacement method for a used-fuel disposal facility - preliminary design considerations. Atomic Energy of Canada Limited Technical Record, TR-665, COG-94-533.
- Boisson, J.-Y. 2005. Clay Club catalogue of characteristics of argillaceous rocks. Prepared in conjunction with the Working Group on the Characterisation, the Understanding and the Performance of Argillaceous Rocks as Repository Host Formations (the "Clay Club"). Nuclear Energy Agency Report NEA No. 4436. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).
- Diederichs, M.S. 2007. The 2003 Canadian Geotechnical Colloquium: Mechanistic interpretation and practical application of damage and spalling prediction criteria for deep tunnelling. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal* 44(9): 1082-1116.
- Golder Associates. 2003. LLW geotechnical feasibility study, western waste management facility, Bruce Site, Tiverton, Ontario. Report to Ontario Power Generation Inc., Golder Associates Ltd. Report 021-1570.
- Hansen, F.D. & Knowles, M.K. 1999. Design and analysis of a shaft seal system for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant. Sandia National Laboratories Report SAND99-09045
- INTERA Engineering Ltd. (INTERA). 2006. OPG's deep geologic repository for low and intermediate level waste – Geoscientific site characterization plan. Consultant report to Ontario Power Generation, INTERA Engineering Ltd., INTERA 05-220-1, OPG 00216-REP-03902-00002-R00.
- Lam, T., Martin, C.D. & McCreath, D. 2007. Deep geologic repository for low and intermediate level waste regional geomechanical property and in-situ stress database. Presented at Canadian Geotechnical Society Conference, Breaking Ground in the Nation's Capital, Ottawa 2007.
- Martin, C.D., Christiansson, R. & J. Söderhäll. 2001. Rock stability considerations for siting and construction a KBS-3 repository. Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Company Report, SKB TR-01-38.
- Martin, C.D., Dzik, E.J. & Read, R.S. 1996. Designing an effective EDZ cut-off in high stress environments. In (Martino & Martin eds.) Designing the EDZ for a Nuclear Repository in Hard Rock, Proc. of an EDZ Workshop, Winnipeg: 155-164.
- Mazurek, M. 2004. Long-term used nuclear fuel waste management geoscientific review of the sedimentary sequence in southern Ontario. Institute of Geological Sciences University of Bern, Switzerland Technical Report to Ontario Power Generation Inc. TR 04-01, July 2004.
- Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO). 2005. Choosing a way forward. The future management of Canada's used nuclear fuel. Nuclear Waste Management Organization. (Available at <u>www.nwmo.ca</u>).
- Olsson, O., Emsley, S, Bauer, C., Falls, S., & Stenberg, L. 1996. ZEDEX A study of the zone of excavation disturbance for blasted and bored tunnels. SKB International Cooperation Report, 96-03.
- Potyondy, D.O. & Cundall, P.A. 2004. A bonded-particle model for rock. Int. J. Rock Mech. & Min. Sci. 41 (8): 1329-1364.
- Read, R.S. & Martin, C.D. 1996. Technical summary of AECL's Mine-by Experiment. Phase 1 excavation response. Atomic Energy of Canada Limited Report, AECL-11311.
- Read, R.S. & Chandler, N.A. 2002. An approach to excavation design for a nuclear fuel waste repository

 the Thermal-mechanical Stability Study. Final Report. Ontario Power Generation, Nuclear Waste Management Division Report 6819 REP 01200 10086 R00.
- Read, R.S. & Dixon, D.A. 2003. Engineering Design of Repository Sealing systems (ENDRES) project plan. Ontario Power Generation Inc. Nuclear Waste Management Division Technical Memorandum 06819(UF)-03782.04-T10 R02, July 2003.
- Read, R.S. 2008. The role of rock engineering in developing a deep geological repository in sedimentary rock. Nuclear Waste Management Organization Technical Report NWMO TR-2008-16.
- RWE-NUKEM. 2003. Deep geologic repository in-floor borehole design update finite element analysis. Report to Ontario Power Generation Inc. 80115/REP/01, Issue 1, June 2003.

- RWE-NUKEM. 2004a. Deep geologic repository in sedimentary rock: high-level review. Nuclear Waste Management Organization Report 89148/REP/04, Issue 1, November 2004.
- RWE-NUKEM. 2004b. Selection of a single representative sedimentary rock formation for the storage/disposal of used nuclear fuel. Nuclear Waste Management Organization Report 89148/REP/02, Issue 2, November 2004.
- Thompson, P.M., Chandler, N.A. & Martino, J.B. 2003. An assessment of methods for the in situ determination of rock stress during siting and characterization of a geologic repository. Ontario Power Generation, Nuclear Waste Management Division Report 06819-REP-01200-10094-R00.
- Thompson, P.M. & Simmons, G.R. 2003. An approach to long-term preclosure and postclosure monitoring for a nuclear fuel waste repository. In Proc. Field Measurements in Geomechanics 2003, Oslo, Norway, Workshop No. 1, Instrumentation and testing for nuclear waste repositories.
- Tonon, F., Amadei, B., Pan, E. & Frangopol, D.M. 2001. Bayesian estimate of rock mass boundary conditions with application to the AECL Underground Research Laboratory. *Int. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci.* 38: 995-1027.
- USDOE OCRWM. 2001. Yucca Mountain Science and Engineering Report. Technical information supporting site recommendation consideration. United States Department of Energy Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management, DOE/RW-0539, May 2001.